

EP-207

화상 재건에서의 흉배동맥 기반 유리피판술의 역할: 1차와 2차 화상 비교를 중심으로

Thoracodorsal Vessel-Based Free Flap Reconstruction for Burn Injuries: A Comparison of Primary and Secondary Cases



한양대학교 의과대학  
성형외과학교실

왕형우, 장란숙, 김효성,  
김지영, 김연환\*

**Purpose:** Free tissue transfer plays an important role in burn management, both for acute wound coverage when simpler methods are inadequate and for subsequent scar contracture release. Thoracodorsal vessel-based flaps offer distinct advantages for burn reconstruction, including a reliable pedicle and versatility for complex defects. This study compared patient characteristics, operative parameters, and outcomes between primary reconstruction for acute burn wounds and secondary reconstruction for scar contracture release.

**Methods:** A retrospective review was conducted of 65 consecutive patients who underwent free flap reconstruction for burn-related defects at a single institution between 2012 and 2024. Patients were categorized into primary reconstruction (acute burn or early wound coverage) and secondary reconstruction (scar contracture release) groups.

**Results:** The secondary reconstruction group was significantly younger (39.4 vs 55.4 years,  $p < 0.001$ ) and had a higher proportion of female patients (68% vs 32%,  $p = 0.01$ ) compared to the primary group. Operative time was significantly longer in secondary reconstruction (237 vs 174 minutes,  $p < 0.001$ ), attributable to technical challenges of dissection in scarred tissue rather than flap complexity. Overall flap survival was 98.5% with no significant difference between groups.

**Conclusion:** Secondary burn reconstruction patients differ demographically from primary reconstruction patients and require longer operative times due to scarred tissue dissection. Thoracodorsal vessel-based flaps demonstrated versatility across the spectrum of burn reconstruction, from perforator flaps to complex chimeric designs, with high success rates in both clinical scenarios.



**Fig. 1.** Primary burn reconstruction. (A-C) A 50-year-old woman with a contact burn to the hand reconstructed with a thoracodorsal artery perforator (TDAP) flap, shown 3 years and 6 months postoperatively after debulking and web space release. (D-F) A 27-year-old woman with a severe flame burn reconstructed with a TDAP-ALT linked flap to create a mitten hand, shown 3 months postoperatively



**Fig. 2.** Secondary burn reconstruction. (A-C) A 20-year-old woman with lower extremity contractures following friction burn, resurfaced with a TDAP flap, shown 2 years postoperatively. (D-F) A 28-year-old woman with scarring after previous latissimus dorsi flap reconstruction, revised with a latissimus dorsi muscle-sparing flap and DIEP flap, shown 2 years postoperatively

Table 1. Comparison of Primary and Secondary Reconstruction Groups

Variable	Primary (n = 37)	Secondary (n = 28)	p-value	Effect Size
Age (years)	55.4 ± 16.5	39.4 ± 17.6	< 0.001	d = 0.94
Sex (Female), n (%)	12 (32%)	19 (68%)	0.01	OR = 4.40
Operative time (min)	173.5 ± 51.0	237.3 ± 90.6	< 0.001	d = 0.90
Defect size (cm <sup>2</sup> )	247.9 ± 215.5	316.3 ± 225.1	0.22	d = 0.31
Complications, n (%)	1 (2.7%)	2 (7.1%)	0.57	-

Values are presented as mean ± SD or n (%). Effect sizes: Cohen's d for continuous variables, odds ratio (OR) for categorical variables.